

This is convex upward in ?: SH(p)
Cone minimize max (-7, x)

over rational polyhedral cone dual to cone spanned by St(p). Lem δ \exists closest point $X \in St(p)$ to origin, and $V(p, \lambda)$ is minimized by λ dual to this XPF: homework Not only is this true for T but there is a unique test datum (x, x) up to equivalence maximizing $V(x, \lambda)$ to recall maps

Thm.: X projective-over-affine, G reductive,

L is NEF class in NS (X) then 1) tpexus, 71. map F: A/Gm X/G with an iso F(i) = p which maximizes $V(f) = V(p, \lambda)$, define $M(p) = V(f_{max})$ 2) if pmg then M(q) & M(p)

B) up to conjugation, only finitely many appear as optimal destabilizers. We will prove this in the case X affine (this implies it when I is very ample too) I dea uses spherical building Sph (G) constructed as follows (recall we are fixing norm [o]) 1) Y maxil fori TCG, let St denote unit sphere in lie(T) R 2) Any Borel BDT gives a top dim/l cone in Lie(t) R (Weyl chamber) maives polyhedral sector ASST. 3) Glue St to St, along AB if T,T'cB Key properties : Sph (G) is a union of AB as B ranges over all Borels, intersecting along Ap where BcP3B. Dominant 2: Gm -> P up to conjugation and positive scaling gives point of Ap

The Function $V(p, \lambda) = \frac{wt_{\lambda} Ll_{lim} \chi(k).x}{|\lambda|}$ a continuous function extends to $V: \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{R}$ Kempfis theorem says Junique minimizer Pf: 1) existence easy; can reduce to case of G=t because any (p, 2) ~ (ap, 9201) with 920^{-1} eT uniqueness: Can défine Deg (p) < Sph(G) to be the closed set of 2 s.t. lim 2(t) p exists. For a homom. W Finite tookernel Gn - G and an equiv. map A2/G2 mapping (1,1) to x get a line segment in Dea(p) all lattice points

Correspond to λ : Gm \rightarrow G

under which lim $\lambda(t)$ p exists

Lem: Give two test data (x, λ) , (x, λ') can Find equivalent test data for x s.t. λ and λ' commute Pfo if P, P2 are two parabolics, then I Def: say $8,8 \in Sph(G)$ are antipodal if 3λ with $8 = [\lambda]$ and $8' = [\lambda']$ Lem. Given & & E Deg (p) CSph(G), as I long as they are not antipodal. I equivariant map Mapping (1,1) HOEX \$: Cm -> G Finite Rernel such that $8 = [\phi(1,t)]$ and $8 = [\phi(t,1)]$ Proof of 2: uses fact that Y, C>X
is a closed immersion, so

